

FACILITATOR EVALUATION SUMMARY

Training: Job Hazard Assessment **Facilitator(s):** Ned Gravel
Location: Edmonton, AB **Date:** 28-Apr-11

Item	Met Participant Needs?				
	1 No	2	3 OK	4	5 Yes
Course Objectives:	<i>√ as appropriate below</i>				
Were you given the opportunity to help define them?	0	0	0	2	4
Were they well defined?	0	0	0	3	3
Were they achieved?	0	0	0	3	3
Course Content:					
Was the material appropriate?	0	0	0	3	3
Complexity (1=too complex or too simple ← → Perfect=5)	0	0	0	4	2
Was the material clear to you?	0	0	0	2	4
Volume (1=too much or not enough ← → Perfect=5)	0	0	2	1	3
Did the handouts fit with this training - did they help?	0	0	1	3	2
Facilitator Methods:					
Did the facilitator allow sufficient discussion?	0	0	0	2	4
Did the facilitator encourage participation?	0	0	0	1	5
Did the facilitator help bring out new group ideas?	0	0	0	3	3
Did the facilitator help close out discussions?	0	0	0	3	3
Would you accept this facilitator again?	0	0	0	1	5
Catering and Facility:					
Was the seminar facility appropriate for the course?	0	0	0	3	3
Was the lunch and breaks service acceptable?	1	0	1	2	2

<u>COMMENTS</u>	<u>REPSONSE</u>
There were no breaks offered.	Will highlight the need for participants to hold to the schedule in future.
I would like to see a bit more on why JHA's are important and who they are important to.	This is not yet law in Alberta, but the recent COR audit of the Alberta labs highlighted the impact of these JHAs on the success of the audit. They demonstrate a sound and effective health and safety system in any company. They are best practice. They provide all employees with knowledge about a task before it is undertaken. These are just some of the advantages.
Less information than I had anticipated. Thought there would be more on noting hazards.	This course is about understanding the hazards associated with a task. Understanding other types of generic hazards can be discussed with HSEQ personnel at any time. They are available.

OTHER COMMENTS

- Good presentation. It is important to get people involved and doing the assessments – it can be confusing separating likelihood, exposure, and frequency. Good work.