

FACILITATOR EVALUATION SUMMARY

Training: Job Hazard Assessment **Facilitator(s):** Ned Gravel
Location: Fort St. John, BC **Date:** 25-Mar-11

Item	Met Participant Needs?				
	1 No	2	3 OK	4	5 Yes
Course Objectives:	<i>√ as appropriate below</i>				
Were you given the opportunity to help define them?	2	0	0	0	0
Were they well defined?	2	0	0	0	0
Were they achieved?	1	0	1	0	0
Course Content:					
Was the material appropriate?	0	0	0	0	2
Complexity (1=too complex or too simple ← → Perfect=5)	0	0	0	0	2
Was the material clear to you?	0	0	0	0	2
Volume (1=too much or not enough ← → Perfect=5)	0	0	1	0	1
Did the handouts fit with this training - did they help?	0	0	0	0	2
Facilitator Methods:					
Did the facilitator allow sufficient discussion?	0	0	0	1	1
Did the facilitator encourage participation?	0	0	0	1	1
Did the facilitator help bring out new group ideas?	0	0	0	0	2
Did the facilitator help close out discussions?	0	0	0	1	1
Would you accept this facilitator again?	0	0	0	0	2
Catering and Facility:					
Was the seminar facility appropriate for the course?	0	0	0	0	2
Was the lunch and breaks service acceptable?	0	0	0	1	1

<u>COMMENTS</u>	<u>REPSONSE</u>
On the JHA form, or more clearly outlined that the identified hazard is only the hazard and the potential outcome is either listed separately or noted to be not listed at all. Appears that all the Env. West Canada JHA's were done listing the potential outcome as the hazard.	This is a good idea. Kira and Dan are aware of the suggestion and Kira is collecting all of them resulting from this training to allow for an update to the form near the end of May this year.
Description of potential outcome – this would make identifying hazards easier.	See above. It will be addressed in our processes.