

# ONLINE EVALUATION FORM

**Training:** Understanding 17025  
**Location:** Online

**Facilitator(s):** Ned Gravel  
**Date:** February 15 to March 12, 2010

Item	Met Participant Needs?				
	1 No	2	3 OK	4	5 Yes
<b>Course Objectives:</b>	√ as appropriate below				
Were the course objectives well defined?			1	3	1
Were the course objectives achieved?			2	2	1
<b>Course Material:</b>					
Was the course material appropriate?			1	2	2
Was the course content too complex?			1	4	
Was the course material clear to you?			3	2	
Was the course volume appropriate?			1	3	1
Did the downloads fit with the training - did they help?			1	3	1
<b>Threaded Discussion Area:</b>					
Did the course organization allow for sufficient discussion?			1	1	3
Were you encouraged to post in the discussion area?			1	1	3
Did the discussion posts help bring out new group ideas?		1	3	1	
Did the discussion posts help you understand the material?			2	2	1
Would you recommend this course to others?			1	3	1

Comments	Response
There are some spelling errors in context. Please correct them if possible.	Done. Thank you.
A closer look needs to be taken at the way some questions are phrased. They could easily be misunderstood and answered incorrectly.	Questions are not for the answers. They are for the discussion. Incorrect answers are the start of good discussions.

## Other Comments

- As a recent university grad who is fairly new to a laboratory setting, this course was great. It has helped me understand what separates a good quality lab from a poor one, and what I will need to be looking out for working as part of a 17025 accredited lab.