

# ONLINE EVALUATION FORM

**Training:** Accreditation Seminar

**Facilitator(s):** Ned Gravel

**Location:** Online

**Date:** May 4 to 15, 2009

Item	Met Participant Needs?				
	1 No	2	3 OK	4	5 Yes
<b>Course Objectives:</b>	√ as appropriate below				
Were the course objectives well defined?					2
Were the course objectives achieved?					2
<b>Course Material:</b>					
Was the course material appropriate?				2	
Was the course content too complex?		1			1
Was the course material clear to you?				2	
Was the course volume appropriate?					2
Did the downloads fit with the training - did they help?					2
<b>Threaded Discussion Area:</b>					
Did the course organization allow for sufficient discussion?			1		1
Were you encouraged to post in the discussion area?			1		1
Did the discussion posts help bring out new group ideas?			2		
Did the discussion posts help you understand the material?			2		
Would you recommend this course to others?					2

Comments	Response
The discussion forum can be improved when I can read different answers from different person.	Very true. This is the reason for the discussion forum – so that participants can interact with each other on the subjects being discussed.
The discussion forum can be improved by giving explanation about the answer I posted.	This is a possible interaction, but if the participants are not off track, the current approach is to allow the participants to discuss without interference. Participants who are having difficulty with subject material do receive responses, as do those whose responses demonstrate exceptional understanding.

Other Comments

This course is very informative and helpful for the laboratory who want to be accredited.