

ONLINE EVALUATION FORM

Training: Internal Calibration

Facilitator(s): Mr. Ned Gravel

Location: ONLINE

Date: 21 April – 2 May 2008

Item	Met Participant Needs?				
	1 No	2	3 OK	4	5 Yes
Course Objectives:					
Were the course objectives well defined?	0	0	1	2	1
Were the course objectives achieved?	0	0	1	2	1
Course Content:					
Was the course material appropriate?	0	0	1	3	0
Was the course content too complex?	0	0	2	2	0
Was the course material clear to you?	0	0	1	3	0
Was the course volume appropriate?	0	0	1	3	0
Did the downloads fit with the training - did they help?	0	0	1	3	0
Course Methods:					
Did the course organization allow for sufficient discussion?	0	0	3	1	0
Were you encouraged to post in the discussion area?	0	0	1	2	1
Did the discussion posts help bring out new group ideas?	0	0	4	0	0
Did the discussion posts help you understand the material?	0	0	4	0	0
Would you recommend this course to others?	0	0	1	3	0

Comments	Responses
[The discussion forum could be improved to bring out new group ideas] by increasing the number of participants for a specific forum and their cross interaction. Also by getting more responses from the course moderator.	This is a very valid point, but course loading is currently set to member needs, as opposed to participant needs. It is hoped that member uses of this course will increase to allow us to have more participants in each course and allow for broader discussion between participants. Currently, discussion is somewhat directed and the moderator is trying to limit their own stated opinions to allow participants to speak freely.
[The discussion forum could be improved to bring out new group ideas] by adding a few more questions to each discussion section.	The difficulty with the current set up is not with the number of discussion activities – as there are enough questions to start any number of discussions. The current difficulty is that there is not sufficient participation to stimulate discussion between the participants. Hopefully, increased use will address this.

Comments	Responses
<p>I have some ideas and opinions that are given below to overcome limitations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elucidation may be explained in through of broad concept with reference to specific cases and points. • Explanation may be elaborated steadily with appropriate and suitable examples for better understanding and realization. • The terms used in the supplied materials may be so explicit for good interpretation in suitable sections and points. • A sound co-ordination may be infrastructured among the all topics and items such as trueness, uncertainty, confidence, competence, and traceability. 	<p>This is primarily a practical theory course and it provides general approaches to be used in the calibration of laboratory thermometers, balances and pipettes within a testing laboratory. These are the broad concepts and specific cases. It is not known how either can be modified to meet the needs given in this statement.</p> <p>See above.</p> <p>Not certain if this is a good thing or not.</p> <p>I think the difficulty here may be about an understanding of these terms. They are expressed in some detail in the materials and two of the references. Not certain how we can be more clear. All ideas are welcome.</p>

Other Comments

- This course helped me learn some practical analytical work.
- I found the course to be very informative and learned a great deal about instrument calibration. I think I will be able to apply a lot of the information to my work.
- Many thanks for your interactions, opinions, suggestions, guidelines etc in the discussion forum from where I could learn about the requirements of the Standard for the analytical laboratory. The course materials and also the supporting documents are very informative for me. I hope to use them as references in my future jobs related to the Standard.