

## ONLINE EVALUATION FORM

**Training:** Internal Calibration

**Facilitator(s):** Mr. Ned Gravel

**Location:** ONLINE

**Date:** 20-30 May 2008

Item	Met Participant Needs?				
	1 No	2	3 OK	4	5 Yes
<b>Course Objectives:</b>					
Were the course objectives well defined?	0	0	0	1	0
Were the course objectives achieved?	0	0	0	1	0
<b>Course Content:</b>					
Was the course material appropriate?	0	0	0	1	0
Was the course content too complex?	0	0	0	1	0
Was the course material clear to you?	0	0	1	0	0
Was the course volume appropriate?	0	0	0	1	0
Did the downloads fit with the training - did they help?	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Course Methods:</b>					
Did the course organization allow for sufficient discussion?	0	0	1	0	0
Were you encouraged to post in the discussion area?	0	0	1	0	0
Did the discussion posts help bring out new group ideas?	0	0	1	0	0
Did the discussion posts help you understand the material?	0	0	1	0	0
Would you recommend this course to others?	0	0	0	0	1

Comments	Responses
The discussion forum could be improved to bring out new group ideas by making it more user friendly	This course is technically demanding and the discussion forum focuses on those issues critical to understanding the concepts. There are relatively few and we have attempted to keep other issues out of the mix.
I could not get into [the discussion forum] at all.	This issue was discussed and we could not find a problem. We were unable to remotely verify the settings of the participant computer as it is 11 timezones away.
Some of the literature was hard to understand for a person who has English as a 2 <sup>nd</sup> language. Some of the questions in the quiz were not very straightforward and did not focus on CAEAL. For example, questions 10, 18 and 20 are confusing.	Understood. Do not know how to make this better. The material is technically demanding.