

ONLINE EVALUATION FORM

Training: Accreditation Seminar

Date: 18-29 June 2007

Facilitator(s): Ned Gravel

Location: ONLINE

Item	Met Participant Needs?				
	1 No	2	3 OK	4	5 Yes
Course Objectives:					
Were the course objectives well defined?	0	0	1	0	0
Were the course objectives achieved?	0	0	1	0	0
Course Content:					
Was the course material appropriate?	0	0	1	0	0
Was the course content too complex?	0	0	1	0	0
Was the course material clear to you?	0	1	0	0	0
Was the course volume appropriate?	0	1	0	0	0
Did the downloads fit with the training - did they help?	0	1	0	0	0
Course Methods:					
Did the course organization allow for sufficient discussion?	0	0	1	0	0
Were you encouraged to post in the discussion area?	0	0	1	0	0
Did the discussion posts help bring out new group ideas?	0	0	1	0	0
Did the discussion posts help you understand the material?	0	0	1	0	0
Would you recommend this course to others?	0	1	0	0	0

Comments	Responses
More current versions of the CAEAL documents should be in the downloads folder. Some references to ISO documents might need updating.	Noted. These are updated periodically. While this does not cause a problem with the material, it can be frustrating.
Recommended readings are valuable (but very time-consuming). I attempted to read everything so the course seemed to take up and over 40 hours.	Noted. It is not intended for participants to read all materials thoroughly – that is why some are “required” and some are “recommended” on the reading list.